of document)

The Academic Essay Evaluation Rubric has three sections. The first section describes how the five scores are used for the three criteria to evaluate your demonstration of focus on thinking. The second section describes how the five scores are used for the four criteria to evaluate your demonstration of focus on the mechanics for communicating ideas. The third section gives definitions for categories of: research, evaluation, synthesis, analysis, application, comprehension, knowledge, content and focus; analysis and critical thinking; logic and flow; structure and organization; writing style; APA conventions; grammar/usage/mechanics; and plagiarism. 10 8 6 4 2 Score & **EVALUATION** (Strong) (Proficient) (Satisfactory) (Weak) (Unacceptable) Comments Section 1. Evaluation of Thinking Directions: For each of the three criteria (content and focus; analysis and critical thinking; logic and flow) select 10, 8, 6, 4, or 2 from the five possible scores (representing strong, proficient, satisfactory, weak, or unacceptable, respectively). Essay successfully Essav answers the Essav answers the Essay answers the Essay insufficiently answers Content & on **Thinking** answers the question with only question with some question but digresses question and insufficiently Focus question: essay minor digressions; digression; essay significantly; essay reviews literature: thoroughly reviews essay sufficiently sufficiently reviews insufficiently reviews the literature; reviews literature; literature; literature; Focus (essay engages essay engages Bloom's Bloom's cognitive essay engages Bloom's cognitive level of knowledge cognitive levels of levels of essay engages essay engages Bloom's (see row below and definitions evaluation, Bloom's cognitive cognitive levels of comprehension and at end of document) synthesis. knowledge (see row levels of synthesis, application, analysis, analysis, application, comprehension, and below and definitions at application, comprehension, and knowledge (see row below end of document) comprehension, knowledge (see row and definitions at end of and knowledge below and definitions document) plus research (see at end of document) row below and definitions at end

Academic Essay Evaluation Rubric

EVALUATIO	N (Strong)	8 (Proficient)	6 (Satisfactory)	4 (Weak)	2 (Unacceptable)	Scores & Comments
Directions: F	valuation of Thinking (cor or each of the three criteria strong, proficient, satisfact	(content and focus; analysi		c and flow) selec	t 10, 8, 6, 4, or 2 from the five pos	sible scores
Analysis and Critical	Exhibits strong higher- order critical thinking and analysis	Generally exhibits higher- order critical thinking and analysis	Exhibits limited higher- order critical thinking and analysis	Exhibits simplis reductive thinkin analysis		
Thinking	Research Evaluation	Synthesis Analysis	Application	Comprehensior	Knowledge	
	(see definitions at end of document)	(see definitions at end of document)	(see definitions at end of document)	(see definitions document)	at end of (see definitions at end of document)	
Logic & Flow	Development is logical and clear to reader; points are addressed individually and linked appropriately	Development is logical and clear to reader; some points may be bunched together or not clearly linked	Development is unclear to reader; points may be inadequately linked	Development is (reasoning isn't points are insuf linked	sound); missing or otherwise	
	Strong =	30 Proficient = 24 Satis	factory = 18 Weak = 12	Unacceptable	= 6 SUB-TOTAL	

EV	ALUATION	5 (Strong)	4 (Proficient)	3 (Satisfactory)	2 (Weak)	1 (Unacceptable)	Score & Comments
Dire	ections: For					nanics) select 5, 4, 3, 2, or 1 fr	om the five
Focus on Communicating	Structure & Organiza tion	Structure and organization are strong: introduction & conclusion are effective; paragraphs are well- developed and have strong topic sentences	Structure and organization are proficient: introduction, conclusion & paragraph development are competent; paragraphs are occasionally weak and/or underdeveloped; topic sentences are generally good	Structure and organization are adequate but flawed: introduction and/or conclusion are ineffective; paragraphs are developed inadequately or inconsistently; topic sentences are present but ineffective	Flawed structure and organization: introduction or conclusion is missing; paragraphs are underdeveloped; topic sentences are missing or unfocused	Structure and organization are ineffective: introduction and conclusion are missing; paragraphs are undeveloped; topic sentences are missing	
	Writing Style	Sentences are consistently clear, concise and direct; tone is appropriately formal/ informal Excellent use of APA	Sentences are generally clear, concise, and direct; tone is appropriately formal/informal	Sentences are occasionally wordy or ambiguous; tone is too informal for academic writing Adequate use of APA	Sentences are generally wordy and/or ambiguous; tone is too informal for academic writing Inadequate use of APA	Sentences are unclear enough to impair meaning; tone is inappropriate and/or inconsistent Unacceptable use of APA	
	APA format	format, inclusive of APA format, inclusive of citations and references	Appropriate use of APA format, inclusive of citations and references; only infrequent errors (suggested: fewer than 1 errors per page)	format, inclusive of APA format, inclusive of citations and references; frequency of errors detracts from strength of paper (suggested: 1-2 errors per page)	format, inclusive of APA format, inclusive of citations and references; frequency of errors obstructs clarity for reader (suggested: 3-4 errors per page)	format, inclusive of citations and references; (suggested: five or more errors per page)	

EVALUATION	5 (Strong)	4 (Proficient)	3 (Satisfactory)	2 (Weak)	1 (Unacceptable)	Scores & Comments
		cating Ideas (continued) ia (structure and organizati	on: writing style: APA form	at: grammar/usage/mec	hanics) select 5, 4, 3, 2, or 1 fr	om the five
		, proficient, satisfactory, we				
Grammar/	Skills with G/U/M	Skills with G/U/M are	Skills with G/U/M are	Skills with G/U/M are	Skills with G/U/M are	
Usage/ Mechanics	are strong	competent	adequate for this level	inadequate; clarity and meaning are impaired	incompetent for this level	
(G/U/M)	(our goot od four r	(oursected) 1 orrest per	(augustadi 2 arrara par	(suggested: 3-5 errors	(suggested: 6 or more errors	
	(suggested: fewer than 1 errors per	(suggested: 1 error per page)	(suggested: 2 errors per page)	per page)	per page)	

ſ	Strong = 20 Proficient = 16 Satisfactory = 12 Weak = 8 Unacceptable = 4	
	SUB-TOTAL	
	COMPOSITE SCORE: Strong = 50 Proficient = 40 Satisfactory = 30 Weak = 20 Unacceptable = 10	
	TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE	

Section 3. Definitions

Definitions are given for the categories of: research, evaluation, synthesis, analysis, application, comprehension, knowledge, content and focus; analysis and critical thinking; logic and flow; structure and organization; writing style; APA conventions; grammar/usage/mechanics; and plagiarism. These definitions are helpful when using the rubrics for evaluating an academic essay.

Category	Definition	Examples
Research	Level added to Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, beyond the highest level (7 th and more advanced than 6 levels of Bloom's Taxonomy)	What if If (this), then (that) This is present This is absent
	Puts the new arrangements into either the old context or a new one to see what happens.	
	Research is the capacity for conducting observations; formulating hypotheses ("if this, then that" statements); gathering data to test hypotheses; interpreting results; and developing new hypotheses to further the exploration of ideas on a topic. Researchers describe what is and what is not part of an observation.	
Evaluation	Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, highest level (6 th and most advanced of Bloom's 6 levels) Explains the value of previous analytical arrangements and subsequent synthesized arrangements.	Judges internal evidence, Judges external criteria Weighs alternatives to justify a decision about the best choice
Synthesis	Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, next highest level (5th and more advanced than 4 th of 6 levels) Puts analytical components together in a new way.	Produces unique communication, an original plan, a set of operations, a set of abstract relations, etc.
Analysis	Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, next highest level (4th and more advanced than 3 rd of 6 levels) Breaks facts and concepts down into their components.	Elements, relationships, organizational principals; Breaking ideas into parts to see relationships; the result is a clarification of ideas. The basis for the arrangement of parts helps to convey the effects of the ideas.

Section 3. Definitions (continued)

Definitions are given for the categories of: research, evaluation, synthesis, analysis, application, comprehension, knowledge, content and focus; analysis and critical thinking; logic and flow; structure and organization; writing style; APA conventions; grammar/usage/mechanics; and plagiarism. These definitions are helpful when using the rubrics for evaluating the academic essay.

Category	Definition	Examples
Application	Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, next highest level (3rd and more advanced than 2 nd of 6 levels)Applies factual concepts to real life, academic specialization (scholarship), professional	Using abstractions in specific situations.
	practice (present or anticipated), case studies from the disciplinary field of study, and/or examples from the professional literature (juried journals).	
Comprehension	Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, next highest level (2nd and more advanced than 5 th of 6 levels)	Translation: This means; in other words Interpretation: One interpretation is; this suggests that
	Puts facts from the literature into one's own words.	Extrapolation: From this we can see that
Knowledge	Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Cognitive Domain, lowest level (1st and most basic of 6 levels)	Specifics Terminology Facts
	Recognizes, recalls, and repeats the facts and related trends and practices of the topic, professional discipline, or field.	Conventions Trends Sequences
	Absence of original thinking or interpretation about those facts.	Classifications Categories Criteria
		Methodology Principles Generalizations
		Theories

Section 3. Definitions (continued)

Definitions are given for the categories of: research, evaluation, synthesis, analysis, application, comprehension, knowledge, content and focus; analysis and critical thinking; logic and flow; structure and organization; writing style; APA conventions; grammar/usage/mechanics; and plagiarism. These definitions are helpful when using the rubrics for evaluating the written portion of the comprehensive examination.

Category	Definition
Content and Focus	The essay answers the question(s) that are asked, focusing on the appropriate global and local content issues
Analysis and Critical Thinking	Critical thinking is the intellectually disciplined process of actively and skillfully conceptualizing, applying, analyzing, synthesizing, and/or evaluating information gathered from, or generated by, observation, experience, reflection, reasoning, or communication, as a guide to belief and action. In its exemplary form, it is based on universal intellectual values that transcend subject matter divisions: clarity, accuracy, precision, consistency, relevance, sound evidence, good reasons, depth, breadth, and fairness. It entails the examination of those structures or elements of thought implicit in all reasoning: purpose, problem, or question-at-issue, assumptions, concepts, empirical grounding; reasoning leading to conclusions, implications and consequences, objections from alternative viewpoints, and frame of reference. Critical thinking - in being responsive to variable subject matter, issues, and purposes - is incorporated in a family of interwoven modes of thinking, among them: scientific thinking, mathematical thinking, historical thinking, anthropological thinking, economic thinking, moral thinking, and philosophical thinking.
	Critical thinking can be seen as having two components:
	 a set of skills to process and generate information and beliefs, and the habit, based on intellectual commitment, of using those skills to guide behavior.
	It is thus to be contrasted with:
	 the mere acquisition and retention of information alone, (because it involves a particular way in which information is sought and treated,) the mere possession of a set of skills, (because it involves the continual use of them,) and the mere use of those skills ("as an exercise") without acceptance of their results.
	Scriven, M. and Paul, R. (n.d.) <i>Defining critical thinking: A draft statement</i> . (n.d.) National Council for Excellence in Critical Thinking. http://criticalthinking.org/University/univclass/Defining.html. Retrieved August 9, 2004.

Section 3. Definitions (continued)

Definitions are given for the categories of: research, evaluation, synthesis, analysis, application, comprehension, knowledge, content and focus; analysis and critical thinking; logic and flow; structure and organization; writing style; APA conventions; grammar/usage/mechanics; and plagiarism. These definitions are helpful when using the rubrics for evaluating the academic essay.

Category	Definition
Logic and Flow	The argument is well-structured. Groundwork is laid, accurate conclusions are drawn from the evidence used, points are argued and linked appropriately.
	Logic and flow presents a good example of building a case by presenting evidence and arguing toward a conclusion that represents the evaluation level of Bloom's taxonomy. Lack of logic and flow would be the example of what is missing from synthesis, analysis, application, comprehension, and knowledge levels.
Structure and Organization	The paper is well-structured. All parts of the essay (introduction, sections, paragraphs, conclusions) do their jobs.
Writing Style	Strong, clear sentences; appropriate academic tone.
APA Conventions	Only those conventions required by Instructor, but should be consistent with APA 5 th Ed.
Grammar/Usage/Mechanics	Parts of speech; complete sentences; spelling; punctuation; typographical errors; etc.
Plagiarism	Using another person's words without giving her or him credit. Plagiarism can either be intentional or unintentional. See Capella's policy on Academic Honesty in iGuide.