



School of Business  
Upper Montclair, New Jersey 07043

**Economic Criteria  
For  
Higher Education Finance**

International Conference  
on  
Reforming Universities  
For the 21<sup>st</sup> Century  
UIBE/MSU/CurtinU./Luton U  
Beijing, China  
July 31-August 1, 1999

Phillip LeBel, Ph.D.  
Professor of Economics  
Department of Economics and Finance  
Montclair State University  
Montclair, New Jersey 07043  
Telephone: (973)-655-7778  
[LeBelp@mail.montclair.edu](mailto:LeBelp@mail.montclair.edu)

**Abstract:**

Economic growth depends as much on factor productivity as it does on increases in the stock of resources. Investment in education is one key to improvement in total factor productivity. The choice of an optimal level of investment in higher education, and how such investment is to be financed, is thus a critical issue in achieving sustainable economic growth.

Selection of an optimal level of investment depends on the presence of efficient markets. In education, markets are incomplete not just in terms of the degree of competition, but also in terms of the level and distribution of information, and in terms of the presence of external benefits. While the presence of external benefits traditionally has been used to justify public sector support for education, subsidies by themselves produce varying effects on the underlying technical efficiency of institutions, depending on the specific mode of finance.

Although proposals for the reform of university finance may begin in the first instance as a response to political pressure, it is important to examine the economic impact of alternative financial incentives. In this paper, we outline how different financial incentives may produce alternative educational outcomes. Any proposal to alter the method of university finance thus should proceed in the first instance on a clear understanding of the effects of various economic incentives.

## **Economic Criteria for Higher Education Finance**

For most countries, university finance is often a major policy issue. Its importance derives not just because education contributes to an economy's stock of knowledge and to the productivity of its labor force, but also because universities represent a significant proportion of national income and claim an important share of public sector expenditures. In this paper, we review recent findings on university finance and examine how economic criteria can be applied to the implementation of university financial reforms.

Consider a university, or university system, as an educational production function. Output, consisting of graduates, dropouts, and external knowledge, is a function of the level and efficiency of inputs. Inputs consist of land (the physical location of a university campus, along with energy, paper, and consumable material supplies), labor (student, teaching, administrative, and staff resources), capital (classrooms, laboratories, libraries, dormitories, dining facilities, computers, and other physical capital resources), along with any entrepreneurial resources its administration and faculty may possess. If the input to output proportions are relatively constant, one then can derive a predicted rate of output from the system for any given intake of students, along with the associated budget necessary to support any level of enrollments over a specified time horizon (LeBel, 1992). Other things equal, changes in the quantity of finance without any change in its composition thus produce proportional changes in the level of output. We can think of this as the standard model.

In many countries, the standard model of financing university education depends largely on public sector expenditures along with some combination of tuition and fees, loans, grants, auxiliary services, and donations by corporations and alumni. As countries experience increasing pressure for fiscal compression arising out of market driven reforms, pressure for reform of university financing has grown accordingly. As a result, the public share of university financing seems headed downward, while the tuition and fee share, along with external private funding shares, are on the rise. As pressures for financial reform have grown, they have forced universities to rethink their mission, while at the same time, requiring them to look at how alternative sources of finance will change the ways in which they go about the production of skills and knowledge for society at large.

Market forces play an important role in allocating resources to their most productive uses. The more information that is embodied in market prices, the more efficient market forces can be in allocating resources to their most productive uses. Where investment decisions are concerned, market prices often are incomplete since the outcomes of investment lie largely in the future. The question, then, is the extent to which market forces provide a superior allocation of investment in comparison to any other alternative.

The principal alternative to a market allocation of investment resources is government intervention. Governments traditionally have provided some degree of support, in the form of budgetary outlays and tax expenditures, to institutions engaged in the process of investment decisions. Such support is most concentrated in the area of research and development expenditures for which markets may be relatively incomplete at the time when such expenditures are being made.

When we look at the United States experience, we find a mixed pattern of public support for research and development expenditures, with most public support going for basic research, leaving a greater role to the market in the area of applied research expenditures. To the extent that the United States experience is typical, public support for research and development then turns largely on the extent to which market signals exist to provide sufficient guidance to private decision-makers regarding new products and processes through ongoing investment flows.

While incomplete information provides a standard justification for public support for research and development expenditures, this leaves open the question of what is the optimal degree of public support for such initiatives. Ultimately, the degree of public support for research and development expenditures must be viewed in terms of the adverse effects of the public tax and borrowing that must be undertaken in comparison to the positive effects that research and development expenditures produce on a country's rate of economic growth and development.

## Table of Contents

I. The Importance of Economic Growth and Development.....	1
II. Measuring Economic Growth and Development.....	2
A. GDP as the Market Value of Newly Produced Goods and Services.....	2
B. Nominal GDP Per Capita.....	2
C. Real GDP Per Capita .....	2
D. PPP Real Per Capita GDP.....	2
E. Economic Growth Versus Economic Development.....	3
III. Policies to Promote Economic Growth and Development .....	4
IV. Research and Development as Elements of National Savings and Investment.....	5
A. How Important is R&D in National Income Accounts .....	6
B. Sources of U.S. R&D Funding.....	7
C. U.S. R&D Expenditures by Application.....	8
D. International Patterns of R&D Expenditures .....	9
V. The Management of Research and Development Projects .....	9
A. An Illustration of Project Evaluation.....	10

## List of Figures

Figure 1 Economic Growth.....	1
Figure 2 World Population Growth.....	1
Figure 3 Real PPP GDP Per Capita, 1994 .....	2
Figure 4 Relative Foreign Exchange Rates: The Big Mac Index .....	3
Figure 5 Indices of PPP GDP Per Capita and Human Development, 1994 .....	3
Figure 6 Does Higher Saving Produce Higher Growth? .....	4
Figure 7 Do Capital-Output Ratios Affect the Rate of Growth of Real GDP? .....	5
Figure 8 Real GDP Growth Rates and Capital-Output Ratios.....	5
Figure 9 Evolution of Real R&D Expenditures by Basic Function .....	6
Figure 10 R&D Share of U.S. Gross Domestic Product .....	6
Figure 11 Sources of U.S. R&D Funding.....	7
Figure 12 Relative Shares of U.S. Real R&D Expenditures .....	7
Figure 13 Real U.S. R&D Expenditures by Application .....	8
Figure 14 Evolution of R&D Spending by Application Share .....	8
Figure 15 R&D As a Share of GDP in G-7 Countries .....	9

## List of Tables

Table 1A National Expenditures for R&D: Performance by Sector .....	11
Table 1B National Expenditures for R&D: Sources of Funds by Sector .....	12
Table 2A National Expenditures for Basic Research: Performance by Sector .....	13
Table 2B National Expenditures for Basic Research: Sources of Funds.....	14
Table 3A National Expenditures for Applied Research: Performance by Sector .....	15
Table 3B National Expenditures for Applied Research: Sources of Funds by Sector .....	16
Table 4A National Expenditures for Development: Performance by Sector .....	17
Table 4B National Expenditures for Development: Sources of Funds .....	18

Table 5	Gross Domestic Product and R&D .....	19
Table 6	Geographic Distribution of U.S. R&D .....	20
Table 7	International R&D Expenditures.....	22

## Bibliography

- Arcelus, F.J., and A.L. Levine, "Merit Goods and Public Choice: The Case of Higher Education," *Public Finance* 41(3) (December 1986): 303-15.
- Arrow, Kenneth J., "Excellence and Equity in Higher Education," *Education Economics* 1(1) (1993): 5-12.
- Birdsall, Nancy, "Public Spending on Higher Education in Developing Countries: Too Much or Too Little?", *Economics of Education Review* 15(4) (October 1996): 407-19.
- Bowen, Howard R. *Investment in Learning: The Individual and Social Value of American Higher Education*. (New Brunswick, N.J.: Transactions Books, 1996). Efficiency and accountability.
- Bowen, William G. and Shapiro, Harold, T., editors. *Universities and Their Leadership*. (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1998). Multi-faceted leadership requirements for success.
- Brown, Robert W. and R. Todd Jewell, "Rent Seeking in Higher Education: Voting on Academic Requirements", *Public Choice* 88(1-2) (July 1996): 103-13. Research on U.S. college athletics suggests that voting on academic eligibility rules is motivated by rent-seeking behavior.
- Burki, Shahid Javed and Shahid Yusuf, editors, "The Sectoral Foundations of China's Development," *World Bank Discussion Paper, no. 148* (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1992).
- Chang, Hui S., and Yu Hsing, "Study of Demand for Higher Education at Private Institutions in the U.S.: A Dynamic and General specification", *Education Economics* 4(3) (December 1996): 267-78.
- Cheng, Kai Ming, "Efficiency, Equity and Quality in Higher Education", in Mee Kau Nyaw and si Ming Li, editors, *The Other Hong Kong Report 1996*, Hong Kong Series (Hong Kong: Chinese University Press, 1996): 409-18.
- Creedy, John, *The Economics of Higher Education: An Analysis of Taxes versus Fees*. (Brookfield, Vermont: Edward Elgar Publishing Company, 1995).
- Creedy, John and Patrick François, "Financing Higher Education: A General Equilibrium Public Choice Approach," *Economic Record* 69(204) (March 1993): 1-9.
- Creedy, John and Patrick François, "Higher Education and Progressive Taxation: Equity, Efficiency and Majority Voting," *Journal of Economic Studies* 19(4) (1992): 17-30.
- Creedy, John and Patrick François, "Financing Higher Education and Majority Voting," *Journal of Public Economics* 43(2) November 1990): 181-200.
- Drysdale, Robert S. "Management and Finance of Higher Education," in Burki, Shahid Javed and Shahid Yusuf, editors, *The Sectoral Foundations of China's Development*.

- World Bank Discussion Paper no. 148, China and Mongolia Department Series (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1992).
- Fleisher, Belton M., and Jian Chen, "The Coast-Noncoast Income Gap, Productivity, and Regional Economic Policy in China", *Journal of Comparative Economics* 25(2) (October 1997): 220-36. Differences in total factor productivity, especially investment in higher education and foreign direct investment explain the productivity gap.
- Fernandez, Raquel and Richard Rogerson, "On the Political Economy of Education Subsidies," *Review of Economic Studies* 62(2) (April 1995): 249-62.
- Getz, Malcolm, John J. Siegfried, and Hao Zhang, "Estimating Economies of Scale in Higher Education," *Economics Letters* 37(2) (October 1991): 203-08.
- Goldin, Claudia and Katz, Lawrence F., "The Origins of State-Level Differences in the Public Provision of Higher Education: 1890-1940", *American Economic Review* 88(2) (May 1998): 303-08.
- Gradstein, Mark and Moshe Justman, "Competitive Investment in Higher Education: The Need for Policy Coordination," *Economics Letters* 47(3-4) (March 1995): 393-400.
- Harding, Ann, "Financing Higher Education: An Assessment of Income-Contingent Loan Options and Repayment Patterns over the Life Cycle," *Education Economics* 3(2), (August 1995): 173-203.
- Haque, Nadeem U., and Se Jik Kim, "Human Capital Flight: Impact of Migration on Income and Growth", *International Monetary Fund Working Paper 94155* (December 1994). Human capital flight arising out of wage differentials because of differences in income tax rates or technology can bring about a reduction in steady state growth of the country of emigration. In a closed economy, tax-financed increases in subsidies to education can have a positive effect on growth, this can have a negative effect on growth when human capital flight is taking place. Subsidizing higher education is more likely to induce substantial brain drain, it is likely to be inferior to subsidies to lower levels of education if growth is to be increased.
- Hoff, Karla and Andrew B. Lyon, "Non-leaky Buckets: Optimal Redistributive Taxation and Agency Costs," *Journal of Public Economics* 58(3) (November 1995): 365-90. Simple redistributive policies can yield Pareto improvements and increase aggregate income.
- Horvath, Tamas D., "Transition of Education and the Economy in Hungary in the Early 1990s," *Education Economics* 1(2) (1993): 165-83.
- Johnson, George E, "Subsidies for Higher Education", in Elchanan Cohn and Geraint Johnes, editors, *Recent Developments in the Economics of Education* (Brookfield, Vermont: Ashgate Publishing Company, 1994): 67-82.
- Jones, Phillip W., *World Bank Financing of Education: Lending, Learning, and Development*. (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1992).
- Justman, Moshe and Jacques François Thisse, "Faut-il régionaliser l'enseignement supérieur? (Should Funding of Higher Education be Decentralized among local governments?) *Revue Economique* 48(3) (May 1997): 569-77. Specifying the balance between state and central government financing under a model of fiscal competition.

- Khan, Shahrukh R., "The Income Redistributive Impact of Financing Higher Education in Pakistan," *World Development* 19(9) (September 1991): 1241-46.
- Lin, Sheila H., and Yao yu Tsai, "Optimal Educational Expenditure: Information, Externality, and Budget," *Academia Economic Papers* 22(1) (March 1994): 47-80.
- Lincoln, Ian, and Arthur Walker, "Increasing Investment in Higher Education: The Role of a Graduate Tax," *Education Economics* 1(3) (1993): 211-226.
- Massy, William F. "Productivity Issues in Higher Education", in William F. Massy, editor. *Resource Allocation in Higher Education*. (Ann Arbor, Michigan: University of Michigan Press, 1996): 49-86. Total factor productivity approach used to measure productivity in higher education.
- McPherson, Michael S., Morton Owen Schapiro, and Gordon C. Winston. *Paying the Piper: Productivity, Incentives, and Financing in U.S. Higher Education*. (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1993).
- McMahon, Walter, W. "Education and Growth in East Asia", *Economics of Education Review* 17(2) (April 1998): 159-72. Production functions with education externalities, with endogenous growth and augmented Solow model, since most countries had universal primary education early, the rate at which secondary education expanded was crucial in achieving high rates of investment and high per capita growth. Evidence of convergence.
- McClatchey, Christine A., "A Multi-Criteria Model for Optimizing University Tuition Structures", *Journal of Applied Business Research* 14(2) (Spring 1998): 117-28. Goal programming approach may be used to ensure that a university tuition structure is consistent with a variety of broad policy constraints faced typically by administrators.
- Miller, Paul, and Paul Volker, "Youth Wages, Risk, and Tertiary Finance Arrangements," *Economic Record* 69(204) (March 1993)
- Mingat, Alain and Jee Peng Tan, "Financing Public Higher Education in Developing Countries: The Potential Role of Loan Schemes", in Mark Blaug, editor, *The Economic Value of Education: Studies in the Economics of Education*. (Brookfield, Vermont: Ashgate Publishing Company, 1992).
- Perrot, Jean, "Les dépenses publiques pour l'enseignement universitaire et le taux de rendement fiscal: le cas de la France (Public Expenditures for Higher Education and Fiscal Rates of Return: The French Case), *Revue Economique* 42(2) (January 1991): 111-32.
- Psacharopoulos, George, "Returns to Investment in Education: A Global Update," *World Development* 22(9) (September 1994): 1325-43.
- Ranis, Gustav, "Labor Markets, Human Capital and Development Performance in East Asia," *Yale Economic Growth Center Discussion paper: 697* (September 1993).
- Rothschild, Michael and Lawrence J. White, "The Analytics of the Pricing of Higher Education and Other Services in Which the Customers are Inputs," *Journal of Political Economy* 103(3) (June 1995): 573-86.
- Rothschild, Michael, and Lawrence J. White, "The University in the Marketplace: Some Insights and Some Puzzles," *NBER Working Paper: 3853* (September 1991).
- Ryan, Paul, "Unbalanced Growth and Fiscal Restriction: Public Spending on Higher Education in Advanced Economies Since 1970," *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 3(2) (December 1992): 261-88.



- Saint, William S., "Universities in Africa: Strategies for Stabilization and Revitalization," *World Bank Technical paper, no. 194* (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1992).
- Salim, A. Abdul, "Subsidisation of Higher Education in Kerala: A Socio-Economic Analysis", *Indian Economic Journal* 42(4) (April-June 1995): 79-103. Education expands factor mobility. It also may increase migration on a national and international scale.
- Savica, Elizabeth, "Another Look at the Demand for Higher Education: Measuring the Price Sensitivity of the Decision to apply to College," *Economics of Education Review* 9(2) (1990): 123-34.
- Selvaratnam, Viswanathan, "Innovations in Higher Education: Singapore at the Competitive Edge," *World Bank Technical paper, no 222* (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1994).
- Simpson, William B., *Cost Containment for Higher Education: Strategies for Public Policy and Institutional Administration*. (Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood Publishers, 1991).
- Spiegel, U., and J. Templeman, "'Bundling' in Learning", Bundling policies can be used as a profit maximizing strategy for universities with a degree of monopoly power.
- Strathman, James G., "Migration, Benefit Spillovers and State Support of Higher Education," *Urban Studies* 31(6) (June 1994): 913-20.
- Tierney, William G., editor. *The Responsive University: Restructuring for High Performance*. (Baltimore and London: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1998). Call for more customer driven higher education, tenure renovation, new partnerships.
- World Bank. *China: Higher Education Reform*. (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank Country Studies Series, 1997). 1985 reform document, relationships between universities and the state, changes in management and financing, quality improvement, strategic priorities in achieving reform goals.
- World Bank. *Priorities and Strategies for Education: A World Bank Review*. (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, Development in Practice series, 1995).
- World Bank, *Higher Education: The Lessons of Experience*. (Washington, D.C.: The World Bank, 1994).